

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/644,560	08/24/2000	Patrick A. Forte	A7809	2556
7590 03/12/2004 Sughrue Mion Zinn MacPeak & Seas PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue N W Washington, DC 20037-3213			EXAMINER	
			GREENE, DANIEL L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3621	
			DATE MAILED: 03/12/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/644,560	FORTE, PATRICK A.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Daniel L. Greene	3621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the c ver sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 23 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE herefore, further action by the applicant is required to avinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment whicl I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper reply to a hplaces the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The see have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the expiration date of 12) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office mely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.10 in the expiration date of 12 in the expiration date of 12 in the expiration date of 13 in the expiration date of 14 in the expiration date of 15 in the e	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the main	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);					
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
<ul><li>(d)  they present additional claims without canceli</li><li>NOTE:</li></ul>	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Sec		dered but does NOT place the				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ol>	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ appr	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449)					
0. Other:		-IMI				
		JAMES P. TRAMMELL				
	S	SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600				
		FO:				



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant argued against the art presented, and did not amend the pending claims. The Applicant argues that Randle does not teach about "house accounts" or "stored value accounts". Randel does teach about "open merchant accounts" and sending customer account information to the merchant's financial service provider for bills designated " ON US"Col. 7&8. Randel further discloses the use of credit accounts, debit card account, a department store account, an oil company account and the like. Col. 11.As presented in the prior Office Action, a debit card account is equivalent to a pre-paid/ stored value account.